arXiv:1005.5546v1 [math.AG] 30 May 2010

EXISTENCE OF RANK TWO VECTOR BUNDLES ON HIGHER DIMENSIONAL TORIC VARIETIES

GIULIO COTIGNOLI AND ALEXANDRU STERIAN

Abstract. In the mid 70's, Hartshorne conjectured that, for all $n > 7$, any rank 2 vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^n$ is a direct sum of line bundles. This conjecture remains still open. In this paper, we construct indecomposable rank two vector bundles on a large class of Fano toric varieties. Unfortunately, this class does not contain $\mathbb{P}^n$.

AMS classification [2000] : 14M25, 14J60

1. Introduction

The starting point of our problem is Hartshorne conjecture concerning the existence of indecomposable rank two vector bundles on projective spaces [8]:

Conjecture 1.1. *Every rank* 2 *vector bundle on* $\mathbb{P}^n$*,* $n > 7$ *is a direct sum of line bundles.*

On $\mathbb{P}^3$ there are plenty of indecomposable rank 2 vector bundles. They have been studied with the hope that a good understanding of the situation for $n = 3$ would have been for some help for $n > 7$. Up to now, no indecomposable rank 2 vector bundles have been found on $\mathbb{P}^n, n > 4$. On $\mathbb{P}^4$, we know only one type of indecomposable rank 2 vector bundles, the Horrocks-Mumford bundles. More recently, people have tried to find indecomposable rank 2 vector bundles on any smooth variety. For instance, Laura Costa and Rosa Maria Miro-Roig prove in [4] the existence of rank two vector bundles on projective bundles over algebraic curves.

In this paper, using a similar techniques as in [4], we construct indecomposable rank 2 vector bundles on large class of Fano toric varities.

Let us recall the definition of the symmetry order of a toric variety :

Definition 1.2. Let X be a complete toric variety and let $G(\Sigma_X)$ be the set of ray generators of its fan. Denote by H the linear space spanned by all $\sigma, -\sigma$, such that $\sigma \in G(\Sigma_X)$ and $-\sigma \in G(\Sigma_X)$. The *symmetry order* of X (which we denote by $\operatorname{ord}(X)$) is equal to $\dim H$.

The main theorem of this paper is the following:

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a complete toric Fano variety such that $G(\Sigma_X)$ contains at least $\operatorname{ord}(X)+1$ pairs $(\sigma, -\sigma)$. There exists an indecomposable rank 2 vector bundle on X.*

Note that, unfortunately, this result does not apply to $\mathbb{P}^n$.

2. Symmetry order of a toric variety and classification

Let X be a smooth, complete toric variety of dimension n. Let T be its complex torus, $N = Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{C}^*, T)$, $N_{\mathbb{Q}} = N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ and Σ_X the fan of X in $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We denote by $\langle x_1, ..., x_h\rangle$ the convex cone spanned by $x_1, ..., x_h \in N$ in $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Definition 2.1. Let X be complete toric variety, then the set of ray generators of X is:

$$G(\Sigma_X) = \{x \in N | \langle x\rangle \in \Sigma_X \text{ and } x \text{ is primitive in } \langle x\rangle \cap N\}.$$

Definition 2.2. Let X be a complete toric variety and let H be the linear subspace of $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ spanned by all $\sigma, -\sigma$, such that $\sigma \in G(\Sigma_X)$ and $-\sigma \in G(\Sigma_X)$. The *symmetry order* of X (which we denote by $\operatorname{ord}(X)$) is equal to $\dim H$.

Remark 2.3. Let $e_1, ..., e_n$ be a basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and let $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ be the fan of $\mathbb{P}^n$ in $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Then, we have:

$$G(\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^n}) = \{e_1, ..., e_n, -e_1 - ... - e_n\}.$$

So for $n \geq 2$, we see that $\operatorname{ord}(\mathbb{P}^n) = 0$.

As a particular type of toric varieties of maximal order (i.e. whose symmetry order is equal to their dimension), we have the Del Pezzo varieties. Let $e_1, ..., e_n$ be a basis of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and suppose that $n = 2r$ is even. Consider the following elements of $\mathbb{Z}^n$:

$v_i = e_i$, for $i = 1, ..., n$,
$v_{n+1} = -e_1 - ... - e_n$,
$v_{i+n+1} = -e_i$ for $i = 1, .., n+1$.

Definition 2.4. The n-dimensional *Del Pezzo variety* V^n is the toric variety associated to the fan (in $\mathbb{Z}^n$) whose set of ray generators is:

$$G(\Sigma_{V^n}) = \{v_1, .., v_{2n+2}\}.$$

Remark 2.5. The Picard number is $\rho_{V^n} = n+2$ for the Del Pezzo of dimension n. For $n=2$, this variety is the Del Pezzo surface S_3, obtained by blowing up $\mathbb{P}^2$ at three points.

Del Pezzo varieties play a very important role in the description of Fano toric varieties. Following results of Ewald (see [6]) and Klyachko-Voskresenski (see [10]), Casagrande proved that a large amount of pseudo-symmetric Fano toric varieties are toric bundles over toric varieties, with fiber a product of Del Pezzo varieties:

Theorem 2.6. *(see* [2]*) Let X be a pseudo-symmetric toric Fano variety. Assume that $G(\Sigma_X)$ contains at least* $\mathrm{ord}(X)+1$ *pairs $(\sigma, -\sigma)$, then X is a toric bundle over a toric variety Z, with fiber a product of Del Pezzo varieties.*

Remark 2.7. A toric bundle $p : X \to Z$ is a locally trivial fibration. Thus, one easily checks that for all rank p vector bundle E on the fiber, there is a rank p vector bundle E' on X, such that for all $z \in Z$, we have:

$$E'_{|p^{-1}(z)} \cong E.$$

As a consequence of the above theorem, the existence of an indecomposable rank 2 vector bundle on any Del Pezzo variety will imply the existence of an indecomposable rank 2 vector bundle on any pseudo-symmetric toric Fano variety X, such that $G(\Sigma_X)$ contains at least $\mathrm{ord}(X)+1$ pairs $(\sigma, -\sigma)$.

Note that from the description of $G(\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^n})$, the above result, unfortunately, does not apply to $\mathbb{P}^n$. In the last section of this paper, we will prove the existence of an indecomosable rank 2 vector bundle on any Del Pezzo variety.

3. Cohomology of Line Bundles on Del-Pezzo Varieties

We follow here the approach of Borisov and Hua [1]. They provide a description of cohomology of a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on every toric variety. We will use their construction for Del-Pezzo varieties.

Let V^n be the Del-Pezzo toric variety of dimension n (n even). For every $\mathbf{r} = (r_i)_{i=1,\ldots,2n+2} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n+2}$, we denote by $supp(\mathbf{r})$ the simplicial complex on $2n+2$ vertices $\{1,..,2n+2\}$ which consists of all subsets $J \subseteq \{1,..,2n+2\}$ such that $r_i \geq 0$ for all $i \in J$ and there exists a cone in Σ_{V^n} that contains all the ray generators v_i, $i \in J$. We will abuse notation and also denote by $supp(\mathbf{r})$ the subfan of Σ_{V^n} whose cones are the minimal cones of Σ_{V^n} that contain all $v_i, i \in J$ for all subsets J as above. It should be clear from the context whether $supp(\mathbf{r})$ refers to the simplicial complex or to its geometric realization as a subfan of Σ_{V^n}.

For example, if all coordinates r_i are negative then the simplicial complex *supp*($\mathbf{r}$) consists of the empty set only, and its geometric realization is the zero cone of Σ_{V^n}. In the other extreme case, if all r_i are nonnegative then the simplicial complex *supp*($\mathbf{r}$) encodes the fan Σ_{V^n}, which is its geometric realization.

Proposition 3.1. *Let V^n be the Del-Pezzo toric variety of dimension n and let E_i be the toric divisors associated to the ray generators v_i for $i = 1, ..., 2n+2$. The cohomology $H^p(V^n, \mathcal{L})$ is isomorphic to the direct sum over all $\mathbf{r} = (r^i)_{i=1,...,2n+2}$, such that $\mathcal{O}(\sum_{i=1}^{2n+2} r_i E_i) \cong \mathcal{L}$, of the $(n-p)$-th reduced homology of the simplicial complex supp($\mathbf{r}$).*

See [1] for a proof.

Remark 3.2. For example, $H^0(V^n, \mathcal{L})$ only comes from $\mathbf{r}$ for which supp($\mathbf{r}$) is the entire fan Σ, i.e. $\mathcal{O}(\sum_{i=1}^{2n+2} r_i E_i) \cong \mathcal{L}$ with $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{2n+2}$. In the other extreme case $H^n(V^n, \mathcal{L})$ only appears when the simplicial complex supp($\mathbf{r}$) $= \{\emptyset\}$, i.e. when $\mathcal{O}(\sum_{i=1}^{2n+2} r_i E_i) \cong \mathcal{L}$ with all $r_i \leq -1$.

4. Indecomposable rank 2 vector bundles on Del Pezzo varieties

Our goal is the proof of the following fact:

Theorem 4.1. *Let V^n be the n-dimensional Del Pezzo toric variety. For every n, there exists an indecomposable rank 2 vector bundle on V^n.*

Proof. Given two line bundles $\mathcal{L}_1$, $\mathcal{L}_2$ on V^n, any extension $\mathcal{E}$ of $\mathcal{L}_1$ by $\mathcal{L}_2$ is a rank two vector bundle on V^n such that there is an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_2 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let $\mathcal{L} \sim {\mathcal{L}_2}^{\nu} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1$. It is a standard fact that any extension of $\mathcal{L}_1$ by $\mathcal{L}_2$ appears as a class in $H^1(V^n, \mathcal{L})$. As a consequence, $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2$ give a non trivial extension if and only if $H^1(V^n, \mathcal{L}) \neq 0$. Thus, we want a vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ whose class in $H^1(V^n, {\mathcal{L}_2}^{\nu} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1)$ is not trivial for all $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2$, such that $\mathcal{E}$ is an extension of $\mathcal{L}_1$ by $\mathcal{L}_2$.

First, we work out which line bundles $\mathcal{L}$ on V^n have $H^1(V^n, \mathcal{L}) \neq 0$. Let $E_1, ..., E_{2n+2}$ be the divisors corresponding to the ray generators $v_1, ..., v_{2n+2}$ in the fan of V^n. Recall that Pic(V^n) is generated by $E_1, ..., E_{2n+2}$, so every line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on V^n can be written:

$$\mathcal{L} \sim \mathcal{O}(\sum_{i=1,...,2n+2} r_i E_i),$$

for some integers $r_1, ..., r_{2n+2}$.

Now proposition 3.1 lead us to restate our problem. We look for an $\mathbf{r}$ such that the corresponding simplex *supp*($\mathbf{r}$) has $H_{n-1}(\mathit{supp}(\mathbf{r})) \neq 0$. Elements in this groups are $(n-1)$-cycles in *supp*($\mathbf{r}$), that is, simplices which can be described in a purely combinatorial way from the fan of V^n.

Let $\Sigma_{\mathbf{r}}$ be the set of all cones in Σ which appears as simplices in *supp*($\mathbf{r}$), so that a m-simplex in *supp*($\mathbf{r}$) is a $m+1$-cone in $\Sigma_{\mathbf{r}}$. Let X^{n-1} be a $(n-1)$-cycle in *supp*($\mathbf{r}$) and let $X^{n-1}_{i,1}, X^{n-1}_{i,2}, ...$ be the i-dimensional faces in X^{n-1}. We will identify X^{n-1} as a set in $\Sigma_{\mathbf{r}}$. When $n=2$, the 1-simplex X^1 is homeomorphic to a circle. So every 0-simplex $X^{n-1}_{0,j}$ in X^1 is contained in exactly two 1-simplices, the ones whose boundary contain the 0-simplex $X^{n-1}_{0,j}$. In a similar way one can prove the following general criterion:

Lemma 4.2. *X^{n-1} is a non zero $(n-1)$-cycle in supp($\mathbf{r}$) if and only if every $(n-2)$-dimensional simplex in X^{n-1} is contained in exactly two $(n-1)$-dimensional simplices of X^{n-1}, as a face of their boundary.*

A $(n-1)$-simplex in *supp*($\mathbf{r}$) is a maximal cone in $\Sigma_{\mathbf{r}}$. Thus, we are looking for a subset $\Sigma_{\mathbf{r}}$ of the fan Σ, such that every facet in this subset is contained in exactly two maximal cones in this subset.

We are lead to study the fan Σ_{V^n} of V^n. Starting from ray generators $v_1, ..., v_{2n+2}$ in Σ_{V^n}, split them in two subsets:

$$x_i = v_i, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n+1,$$

and

$$y_j = v_{j+n+1}, \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq n+1.$$

This splitting agrees with the structure of our fan Σ_{V^n}. In deed, the fan Σ_{V^n} is defined by prescribing that the rays y_i and x_i can not appear at the same time in any cone that Σ_{V^n} contains as part of its support (see [2]). More precisely, for $n=2r$, the fan Σ_{V^n} is the reunion of the $\Sigma(m)$ for $0 \leq m \leq r$, where:

$$\Sigma(m) = \{\langle x_i, y_j\rangle_{i\in I, j\in J} | I, J \subset \{1,2,...,n+1\}, I\cap J = \emptyset,$$
$$\#I \leq r, \#J \leq r, \#I\cup J = m\}$$

is the set of m-dimensional cones in Σ_{V^n}.

A simple trick can be used to clarify this construction. Order all ray generators in a $2\times(n+1)$ table, so that $x_1, ..., x_{n+1}$ appear in the first row, and $y_1, ..., y_{n+1}$ in the second one. Select not more than one element in each column of the table. As a result, every m-dimensional cone in Σ_{V^n} can be obtained from a choice of exactly m columns in this table, and all possible choices sweep up Σ_{V^n} and all of its cones.

This table makes easier the choice of a set X of generators of $\Sigma_{\mathbf{r}}$ according to lemma 4.2. At a first stage, take X the greatest possible set, that is, the set of all the generators in the fan. Fix $n-1$ columns $X_1, ..., X_{n-1}$ in X, so a facet can be obtained choosing exactly one generator in each of these columns. By lemma 4.2, we have to compute how many maximal cones contain such a facet on their boundary. Note that a maximal cone is obtained from a facet adding to it exactly one generator, which can be chosen without restrictions outside the $n-1$ columns $X_1, ..., X_{n-1}$ which define the facet. These are all the columns in the table but two, so four generators (correspondingly four maximal cones) remain available for our choice, which means that the criterion from lemma 4.2 is not satisfied when X fills all the table.

Thus, we reduce by two the number of maximal cones containing each facet by deleting the i-th (for one $i \in \{1, ..., n+1\}$) column from the table. This set generates $\Sigma_{\mathbf{r}}$ for $\mathbf{r}$ equal to:

$$\mathbf{r} = (1, .., 1, 0, 1, ..., 1, 0, 1, ..., 1),$$

where the only 0 are in the i-th and $n+1+i$-th position. As a consequence of the previous discussion we have the following result:

Proposition 4.3. *Let V^n be the n dimensional del Pezzo variety and let $E_1, .., E_{2n+2}$ the toric divisors corresponding to the rays generators $v_1, .., v_{2n+2}$ in the fan of V^n. Then for $r_j \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $i \in \{1..n+1\}$, we have the following nonvanishing:*

$$H^1(V^n, \mathcal{O}(\sum_{j \neq i,\, j \neq n+i+1} r_j E_j)) \neq 0$$

Now, let $D_1 = \sum_{j \neq 1,\, j \neq n+2} E_j$ and $D_2 = -D_1$ By proposition 4.3, we have a non trivial extension $\mathcal{E}$ of $\mathcal{L}(D_1)$ by $\mathcal{L}(D_2)$. We will prove that for any exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathcal{L}(X_1) \to \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{L}(X_2) \to 0,$$

we have:

$$X_1 \cong D_1 \text{ and } X_2 \cong D_2,$$

or

$$X_1 \cong -D_1 \text{ and } X_2 \cong -D_2.$$

This will imply that $\mathcal{E}$ is unsplit.

So assume we have such an exact sequence. By Whitney's formula for Chern classes we have:

$$c_1(\mathcal{E}) = X_1 + X_2 = D_1 + D_2 = 0,$$

and

$$c_2(\mathcal{E}) = X_1 \cdot X_2 = D_1 \cdot D_2.$$

As a consequence we have $(X_2 - D_2) \cdot (X_2 + D_2) = 0$.

Now from the description of the fan of V^n (see [2]) and that of the Chow ring of a smooth projetive toric variety (see [7]), we get the following:

Proposition 4.4. *The Chow ring of* V^n *is equal to* $\mathbb{Z}(E_i)_{1\leq i\leq 2n+2}/I$*, where* I *is the ideal generated by the relations:*

- $E_{i_1}\cdot ...\cdot E_{i_k}\cdot E_{j_1+n+1}\cdot ...\cdot E_{j_{k'}+n+1}=0$*, for all* $i_1,...,i_k,j_1,...,j_{k'}\in\{1,...,n+1\}$*, with the condition* $k>[n/2]$ *or* $k'>[n/2]$ *or* $\{i_1,...,i_k\}\cap\{j_1,...,j_{k'}\}\neq\emptyset$*.*
- $\sum_{i=1}^{2n+2}\langle m,v_i\rangle E_i=0$*, for all* $m\in Hom(N_{V^n},\mathbb{Z})$*.*

As a consequence of this result, the relation $(X_2-D_2)\cdot(X_2+D_2)=0$ must be a linear combination in Chow(V^n) of the relations $E_i\cdot E_{i+n+1}=0$, $i\in\{1,...,n+1\}$. Since $D_2=-\sum_{j\neq 1,j\neq n+2}E_j$, this is only possible if $X_2=D_2$ or $X_2=-D_2$ and the proof is completed.

Acknowledgements This work was done while both the authors were attending the edition 2009 of the P.R.A.G.MAT.I.C. summer school in Catania. It is a pleasure to thank all our four teachers Laura Costa, Daniele Faenzi, Rosa Maria Miró-Roig and Giorgio Ottaviani, for the exciting environment they were able to set up there. Of course our thanks go also to the mathematics and computer science department of 'Universitá di Catania', and mainly to the local organizers Alfio Ragusa and Giuseppe Zappala, for the opportunity we had to attend the school, and for their kind hospitality. Especially, we would like to thank Laura Costa and Rosa-Maria Miro-Roig for suggesting us this problem and for the ideas they shared with us.

References

[1] L.Borisov, Z. Hua *On the conjecture of King for smooth toric Deligne-Mumford stacks,* math.AG/0801.2812v3.

[2] C.Casagrande, *Centrally symmetric generators in toric Fano varieties,* Manuscripta Math. 111, (2003), 471-485

[3] L. Costa, *Vector bundles on ruled Fano 3-folds*, Manuscripta Math. 100, (1999), 335-349

[4] L. Costa, R.M. Miro-Roig *Moduli Spaces of Vector Bundles on Higher Dimensional Varieties,* Michigan Math. J 49, (2001) 605-620

[5] Ph. Ellia, *Low codimensional subvarieties,* Quaderni di matematica, (2006), to appear

[6] G. Ewald, *On the classification of toric Fano varieties,* Discrete Computational Geometry 3, 1988, 49-54.

[7] W. Fulton, *Introduction to toric varieties,* Ann. of Math. Studies, Princeton, 131 (1993)

[8] R. Hartshorne, *Varieties of small codimension in projective space,* Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 80 (1974), 1017-1032

[9] R.M. Miro-Roig, *Lectures on moduli spaces of vector bundles,* Quaderni di Matematica 21, 5, (2007), 239-314

[10] V. E. Voskresenskiĭ and Alexander Klyachko *Toroidal Fano varieties and roots systems,* Mathematics of the USSR Izvestiya, 24:221–244, 1985.

Giulio Cotignoli
University of Rome 'La Sapienza'
Department of Mathematics
P.le Aldo Moro, 5, 00185
Rome, Italy
cotignoli@mat.uniroma1.it

Alexandru Sterian
University "Spiru Haret"
Department of Mathematics
Str. Ion Ghica, 13, 030045
Bucharest, Romania
alexandru.sterian@gmail.com